



JFM

ON THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Nam, Sang Seon

Examiner: Bell, Bruce F.

Appln. No. 10/563,541

Art Unit: 1795

Filed: 12/24/2005

Conf. No. 8686

For: Apparatus for Producing Ozone by Electrolysis

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

* * *

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Sir:

In response to the final Office Action dated September 30, 2008, in the above-identified case, reconsideration is requested of the Examiner's decision to make the Office Action final.

In the Office Action dated January 8, 2008, claims 1, 3, and 4 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Goto et al. (US 5256268), and claim 2 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goto et al. Claim 5 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goto et al. in view of DeNora et al. (US 4162953). Applicant responded thereto by limiting the device to ("consisting of") an anode, cathode, solid polymer electrolyte membrane disposed between the anode and the cathode, and an auxiliary electrode disposed between the cathode and the solid polymer electrolyte membrane, and by requiring the auxiliary electrode to be "in the form of a net having 10-100 meshes and a thickness of 0.1-2.0 mm."

In this most recent final Office Action, the Examiner found the Applicant's argument